

Proposed Multiple Occupancy , 226 Fowlers Lane near Bangalow

Proposal Title :	Proposed Multiple Occupancy	/ , 226 Fowlers Lane near Ba	angalow
Proposal Summary :			Lane Bangalow to be developed for cordance with clause 4.2B of Byron
PP Number :	PP_2014_BYRON_005_00	Dop File No :	14/16510
Proposal Details	No. 1		Internet Million
Date Planning Proposal Received :	30-Sep-2014	LGA covered :	Byron
Region :	Northern	RPA :	Byron Shire Council
State Electorate :	BALLINA	Section of the Act :	55 - Planning Proposal
LEP Type :	Spot Rezoning		
Location Details			
Street : Fo	wlers Lane		61
Suburb :	City :	Bangalow	Postcode : 2479
Land Parcel : Lo	t 6 DP 261219		
DoP Planning Offi	cer Contact Details		
Contact Name :	Paul Garnett		
Contact Number :	0266416607		
Contact Email :	paul.garnett@planning.nsw.go	v.au	
RPA Contact Deta	ils		
Contact Name :	Emma-Jayne Leckie		
Contact Number :	0266267169		
Contact Email :	emma-jayne.leckie@planning.r	isw.gov.au	
DoP Project Mana	ger Contact Details		
Contact Name :	Jim Clark		
Contact Number :	0266416604		
Contact Email :	jim.clark@planning.nsw.gov.au	1	
Land Release Dat	a		
Growth Centre :		Release Area Name :	
Regional / Sub Regional Strategy :	Far North Coast Regional Strategy	Consistent with Strateg	y Yes

MDP Number :		Date of Release :	
Area of Release (Ha) :		Type of Release (eg Residential / Employment land) :	Residential
No. of Lots	0	No. of Dwellings (where relevant) :	9
Gross Floor Area :	0	No of Jobs Created :	0
The NSW Governmer Lobbyists Code of Conduct has been complied with :	nt Yes		
If No, comment :			
Have there been meetings or communications with	Νο		
registered lobbyists? :			
If Yes, comment :			
Supporting notes			
Internal Supporting	2		
Notes : External Supporting Notes :		cupancy / community title (MO/CT cil in Byron LEP 2014 after its publ	
External Supporting	included by Byron Count property was omitted fro public. It was suggested	cupancy / community title (MO/CT cil in Byron LEP 2014 after its publ m the final LEP as the proposal ha I to Council that a new planning pr I be considered on its merits. Thi	ic exhibition. However the d not been exhibited to the oposal could be submitted and
External Supporting	included by Byron Counc property was omitted fro public. It was suggested any such proposal would occupancy.	cil in Byron LEP 2014 after its publ m the final LEP as the proposal ha I to Council that a new planning pr	ic exhibition. However the d not been exhibited to the oposal could be submitted and
External Supporting Notes :	included by Byron Counc property was omitted fro public. It was suggested any such proposal would occupancy.	cil in Byron LEP 2014 after its publ m the final LEP as the proposal ha I to Council that a new planning pr	ic exhibition. However the d not been exhibited to the oposal could be submitted and
External Supporting Notes : lequacy Assessme Statement of the of	included by Byron Count property was omitted fro public. It was suggested any such proposal would occupancy. nt bjectives - s55(2)(a)	cil in Byron LEP 2014 after its publ m the final LEP as the proposal ha I to Council that a new planning pr	ic exhibition. However the d not been exhibited to the oposal could be submitted and
External Supporting Notes : lequacy Assessme Statement of the of	included by Byron Count property was omitted fro public. It was suggested any such proposal would occupancy. nt bjectives - s55(2)(a) objectives provided? Yes The objective is clearl	cil in Byron LEP 2014 after its publ m the final LEP as the proposal ha I to Council that a new planning pr	ic exhibition. However the id not been exhibited to the oposal could be submitted and s proposal is for multiple
External Supporting Notes : lequacy Assessme Statement of the of Is a statement of the of Comment :	included by Byron Count property was omitted fro public. It was suggested any such proposal would occupancy. nt bjectives - s55(2)(a) objectives provided? Yes The objective is clearl	cil in Byron LEP 2014 after its publ m the final LEP as the proposal ha I to Council that a new planning pr d be considered on its merits. Thi	ic exhibition. However the id not been exhibited to the oposal could be submitted and s proposal is for multiple
External Supporting Notes : lequacy Assessme Statement of the of Is a statement of the of Comment : Explanation of prov	included by Byron Count property was omitted fro public. It was suggested any such proposal would occupancy. nt bjectives - s55(2)(a) objectives provided? Yes The objective is clearl occupancy developme	cil in Byron LEP 2014 after its publ m the final LEP as the proposal ha I to Council that a new planning pr d be considered on its merits. Thi	ic exhibition. However the id not been exhibited to the oposal could be submitted and s proposal is for multiple
External Supporting Notes : lequacy Assessme Statement of the of Is a statement of the of Comment : Explanation of prov	included by Byron Count property was omitted fro public. It was suggested any such proposal would occupancy. nt bjectives - s55(2)(a) bjectives provided? Yes The objective is clearl occupancy development visions provided - s55(rovisions provided? Yes The explanation of pro "Multiple Occupancy	cil in Byron LEP 2014 after its publ m the final LEP as the proposal ha I to Council that a new planning pr d be considered on its merits. Thi	ic exhibition. However the id not been exhibited to the oposal could be submitted and s proposal is for multiple to be utilised for multiple al enhancement. We the use of clause 4.2B y Developments" of Byron LEP
External Supporting Notes : Requacy Assessme Statement of the of Is a statement of the of Comment : Explanation of proving Is an explanation of proving	included by Byron Count property was omitted fro public. It was suggested any such proposal would occupancy. nt bjectives - s55(2)(a) objectives provided? Yes The objective is clearl occupancy development visions provided - s55(rovisions provided? Yes The explanation of pro "Multiple Occupancy 2014 by adding the pro-	cil in Byron LEP 2014 after its publ m the final LEP as the proposal ha to Council that a new planning pr d be considered on its merits. Thi y stated - to enable a rural holding ent, agricultural uses and ecologic (2)(b) pvisions is clearly stated - to enably y or Rural Landsharing Community	ic exhibition. However the id not been exhibited to the oposal could be submitted and s proposal is for multiple to be utilised for multiple al enhancement. We the use of clause 4.2B y Developments" of Byron LEP
External Supporting Notes : lequacy Assessme Statement of the of Is a statement of the of Comment : Explanation of provision Is an explanation of provision Comment : Justification - s55 (included by Byron Count property was omitted fro public. It was suggested any such proposal would occupancy. nt bjectives - s55(2)(a) objectives provided? Yes The objective is clearl occupancy development visions provided - s55(rovisions provided? Yes The explanation of pro "Multiple Occupancy 2014 by adding the pro-	cil in Byron LEP 2014 after its publ m the final LEP as the proposal ha to Council that a new planning pr d be considered on its merits. This y stated - to enable a rural holding ent, agricultural uses and ecologic (2)(b) ovisions is clearly stated - to enable y or Rural Landsharing Community operty to the Multiple Occupancy a	ic exhibition. However the id not been exhibited to the oposal could be submitted and s proposal is for multiple to be utilised for multiple al enhancement. We the use of clause 4.2B y Developments" of Byron LEP
External Supporting Notes : lequacy Assessme Statement of the of Is a statement of the of Comment : Explanation of provision Is an explanation of provision Comment : Justification - s55 (included by Byron Count property was omitted fro public. It was suggested any such proposal would occupancy. nt bjectives - s55(2)(a) objectives provided? Yes The objective is clearl occupancy development visions provided - s55(rovisions provided? Yes The explanation of pro "Multiple Occupancy 2014 by adding the pro- (2)(c) egy been agreed to by the Di	cil in Byron LEP 2014 after its publ m the final LEP as the proposal ha to Council that a new planning pr d be considered on its merits. This y stated - to enable a rural holding ent, agricultural uses and ecologic (2)(b) ovisions is clearly stated - to enable y or Rural Landsharing Community operty to the Multiple Occupancy a	ic exhibition. However the id not been exhibited to the oposal could be submitted and s proposal is for multiple to be utilised for multiple al enhancement. We the use of clause 4.2B y Developments" of Byron LEP

Proposed Multiple Occupancy, 226 Fowlers Lane near Bangalow

6.3 Site Specific Provisions

Is the Director General's agreement required? No

c) Consistent with Standard Instrument (LEPs) Order 2006 : Yes

d) Which SEPPs have the RPA identified?

SEPP No 44—Koala Habitat Protection SEPP No 55—Remediation of Land SEPP (Rural Lands) 2008 North Coast REP 1988

e) List any other matters that need to be considered :

Have inconsistencies with items a), b) and d) being adequately justified? Yes

If No, explain :

Mapping Provided - s55(2)(d)

Is mapping provided? Yes

Comment :

Only a location map is provided. However the planning proposal will amend the Multiple Occupancy and Community Title Map in Byron LEP 2014, and a revised map tile should be exhibited with the proposal.

Community consultation - s55(2)(e)

Has community consultation been proposed? Yes

Comment :

The community participated in a Local Area Management Plan (LAMP) process which recommended MO for this and nearby sites and was subsequently incorporated into the Byron Rural Settlement Strategy (BRSS) in 1998.

Council now proposes an exhibition period of 14 days, which is acceptable.

Additional Director General's requirements

Are there any additional Director General's requirements? No

If Yes, reasons :

Overall adequacy of the proposal

Does the proposal meet the adequacy criteria? Yes

If No, comment :

The planning proposal satisfies the adequacy criteria by:

 Providing appropriate objectives and intended outcomes;
 Providing a suitable explanation of the provisions for the LEP to achieve the outcomes;

3) Providing an adequate justification for the proposal;

4) Allowing a suitable proposed community consultation program;

5) Providing a time line for the completion of the proposal. Council has suggested a time line of about nine(9) months, which includes the Christmas holiday period. This is acceptable

Council has requested delegations to finalise the proposal and provided a checklist. This is a minor local matter and delegation is appropriate.

Proposed Multiple Occupancy, 226 Fowlers Lane near Bangalow

Proposal Assessment

Principal LEP:

Due Date :

LEP:

Comments inByron LEP 2014 is a Standard Instrument LEP made in May 2014. It came into force on 21relation to PrincipalJuly 2014.

Assessment Criteria

Need for planning The land proposal : along the

The land is zoned RU2 Rural Landscape , with a small area of RU1 Agricultural Protection along the eastern boundary, and an area deferred (originally E3 Envirionmental Management) in the north-west corner.

A planning proposal is the only way to implement multiple occupancy provisions over this site. The provisions rely on the site being indicated on the MO/CT Map which invokes the standards in clause 4.2B of the LEP.

Consistency with strategic planning framework :

The Far North Coast Regional Strategy does not specifically address multiple occupancy as an issue. The Byron Rural Sewttlement Strategy also did not dictate wshere MOs could be, although findings of the LAMP process mentioned earlier included this site.

In statutory terms, SEPP15 Rural Land Sharing Communities, and clause 17A of the former Byron LEP 1988 allowed MO developments generally anywhere . (For community title (CT)development which involves small lot subdivision, strategic planning justification is required - however this proposal is not for CT.)

The conclusion is that the proposal is not inconsistent with the strategic planning framework.

While the planning proposal nominates a number of section 117 directions and SEPPs, there are inconsistencies with:-

-directions 1.2, 1.5 Rural Land / Zones and 5.3 Farmland of State and Regional Significance. Comments on these three directions are similar. The land contains an area of regionally significant farmland and a small area of State significant farmland (zoned RU1). The planning proposal does not alter zonings and agricultural production is able to continue on the land where possible. The proposal includes a map indicating areas of "productive systems" where limited agriculture could take place, having regard to topographical restrictions.

The inconsistencies are considered justified as of minor significance; and

- 4.4 Planning for Bushfire Protection. The land is indicated as bushfire prone and Council is asking for supplementary information on this aspect. This inconsistency will be resolved when the Council consults with the Rural Fire Service.

Environmental social economic impacts :

The planning proposal indicates there are areas of ecological value on the site. This issue can be further explored in "supplementary information" which the Council indicates will be required.

Other issues which can be addressed at development stage include land contmaination (if any); protection of water systems; traffic access and bushfire management. None of these are of sufficient significance to prevent the proposal proceeding.

Multiple occupancy development can result in positive social impacts for the community, including housing / lifestyle opportunities which are "different" and have been argued to be more "affordable", resident involvement in maintenance of local ecological systems and increased use / development of facilities to benefit the wider community.

ssessment Process	6				
Proposal type :	Minor		Community Consultation Period :	14 Days	
Fimeframe to make	9 months		Delegation :	RPA	
Public Authority Consultation - 56(2) d) :	NSW Rural Fire So	ervice			
s Public Hearing by the	PAC required?	No			
2)(a) Should the matter	proceed ?	Yes			
f no, provide reasons :					
Resubmission - s56(2)(b	o) : No				
f Yes, reasons :					
dentify any additional st	tudies, if required. :				
Fauna Bushfire Other - provide details f Other, provide reasons Fraffic and access fron	s :	ay; and			
Contamination Risk dentify any internal con	sultations if required	4.			
		J.			
No internal consultatio	n requirea				
s the provision and fund	ding of state infrastru	icture relevai	nt to this plan? No		
f Yes, reasons :					
uments		as characteristics as a second			
Document File Name			DocumentType N	ame	Is Public
	mondation	-111021 1 2			
ning Team Recom					
Preparation of the plann	ning proposal suppor	ted at this sta	age : Recommended with Cor	nditions	
S.117 directions:	1.2 Rural Zones				
	1.3 Mining, Petra 1.5 Rural Lands		uction and Extractive Industri	es	
	4.4 Planning for		otection		
	5.1 Implementat	tion of Regio	onal Strategies		
	5.3 Farmland of		egional Significance on the N	SW Far North Co	ast
		d Defensel D	aquiramanta		
	6.1 Approval an 6.3 Site Specific		equirements		

	aparicy; 2201 owiers Lane near Bungalow				
	 aupancy, 226 Fowlers Lane near Bangalow 1) the planning proposal should proceed as a routine planning proposal; 2) the Secretary (or an officer nominated by the Secretary) agrees that the inconsistencies with s117 Directions 1.2 Rural Zones; 1.5 Rural Lands and 5.3 Farmland of State and Regional Significance on the NSW Far North Caast are justified as of minor significance; 3) the officer note that the inconsistency with 4.4 Planning for Bushfire Protection will be resolved through consultation prior to exhibition with the NSW Rural Fire Service; 4) supplementary information as suggested by the Council be obtained concerning bushfire risk, contamination risk, flora and fauna and traffic and access issues, to be placed on exhibition with the planning proposal; 5) a map indicating amendment to the Multiple Occupancy / Community Title Maps in Byron LEP 2014 be prepared in accordance with the Department's Technical Guidelines and placed on public exhibition; 6) the planning proposal be completed in nine(9) months; and 8) delegation be issued to Council to finalise the planning proposal. This proposal is minor and local in nature and is an appropriate means of permitting multiple occupancy development on land suitable for that purpose. 				
Supporting Reasons					
Signature:	72				
Printed Name:	JIM CLARK Date: ZOchber 2014				